

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,771	08/04/2003	Eric Vivier	INN-113TD2	6493
23557 75	90 03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK			SISSON, BRADLEY L	
A PROFESSIO PO BOX 14295	NAL ASSOCIATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- +	E, FL 32614-2950		1634	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,771	VIVIER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC (36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed 'HS from the mailing date of this con ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	·	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 24-66 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand the drawing(s) the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/633,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

Vacation of Prior Office Action

1. The non-final Office action mailed 26 September 2005 is hereby vacated as it did not take into consideration the preliminary amendment filed 04 August 2003, whereby claims 1-23 were canceled and new claims 24-66 were added.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 24, 26-34, 37-45, and 66, drawn to a method of identifying repertoire of
 NKR inhibitory receptors in a subject, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - II. Claims 25-32, 35-45, drawn to a method of identifying repertoire of NKR
 activatory immunoreceptors within a subject, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - III. Claims 46 and 47, drawn to a kit, classified in class 536, subclass 24.33.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 4. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to different methods that are comprised of different method steeps and which result in different end products.
- 5. Inventions III and I-II are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1634

§ 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as the methods of Group I or the method of Group II.

- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: In the event that applicant elects the invention of Group I, applicant is required to elect one of the following species for examination:
 - a. Claims 33 and 57, method used to document genotypic repertoire of KIR immunoreceptors, and claims 34 and 58, method used to document the expression repertoire of KIR immunoreceptors.
 - b. Claims 40-64, method used to predict or monitor acceptance or rejection, by a subject, of cells, tissue, or organ.
 - c. Claims 41 and 65, method used to predict or to monitor safety or pathogenicity (GVH), for a subject, of a graft or transplant, of cells, tissue, or organ.
 - d. Claims 42 and 66, method used to predict or to monitor for a subject of a GVL-type effect on the part of cells, tissue or organ, which are genetically different.
 - e. Claim 43, drawn to use of method to determine the state of activation of NK and/or T cells within a subject.
 - f. Claim 44, drawn to a method of predicting or monitoring the state of resistance of a subject to (i) infection, (ii) autoimmune disease, or (iii) development of malignant cells.

Art Unit: 1634

8. The species are independent or distinct because the species are all drawn to different methods that result in different end products.

- 9. In the event that applicant elects the invention of Group Π , applicant is required to elect one of the following species for examination:
 - a. Claims 35 and 59, method used to document genotypic repertoire of KAR immunoreceptors; and claims 36 and 60, method used to document the expression repertoire of KAR immunoreceptors.
 - b. Claims 40-64, method used to predict or monitor acceptance or rejection, by a subject, of cells, tissue, or organ.
 - c. Claims 41 and 65, method used to predict or to monitor safety or pathogenicity (GVH), for a subject, of a graft or transplant, of cells, tissue, or organ.
 - d. Claims 42 and 66, method used to predict or to monitor for a subject of a GVL-type effect on the part of cells, tissue or organ, which are genetically different.
 - e. Claim 43, drawn to use of method to determine the state of activation of NK and/or T cells within a subject.
 - f. Claim 44, drawn to a method of predicting or monitoring the state of resistance of a subject to (i) infection, (ii) autoimmune disease, or (iii) development of malignant cells.
- 10. The species are independent or distinct because the species are all drawn to different methods that result in different end products.
- 11. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be

Application/Control Number: 10/633,771

Art Unit: 1634

allowable. Currently, claims 24, 26-32, 37-39, 48, 50-56, and 61-63 generic with respect to Group I; and claims 25-32, 37-39, 49-56, and 61-63 are generic with respect to Group II.

- 12. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 13. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 15. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 16. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,771 Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

17. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0751. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.
- 19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1634

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634

BLS 07 March 2006